

I

- 問1 難なく動植物を絶滅に追いやったり、自分たちの必要や気まぐれな思いつきを満たすために地表の姿を変貌させ環境を変化させたりすることができる人間の能力。
- 問2 私たちにわかっているのは、科学技術によって、例えば食料の供給、野生動物に対する防護、そして医療に関する知識などを増大させることによって、私たちは無制限に人口を増やし、地球の資源が回復するよりも速く消費し、地球の土壌や水や大気を清浄化できる速度よりも速く汚染することができてしまう地点にまで到達したということだ。
- 問3 知能の高いチンパンジーや脳の大きい象とは対照的に、ドブネズミは見つけることが困難な程に小さく、幅広い種類のものを食べる雑食なので飢え死にしにくく、そして何よりも、数が減ってもすぐに取り戻せる多産性を有しているという三つの理由で種として繁栄している。

- 問4 ①(イ)      ②(オ)      ③(エ)      ④(ウ)      ⑤(ア)

Ⅱ

問1 (ア) (エ) (オ) (ク) (ケ)

問2 (オ)

問3 利用者を注意散漫にさせるという理由で歩きながらテキストメッセージを書くことを禁止するならば、ゲームや地図、音楽などの他のスマートフォンのアプリケーションソフトの利用も禁止しなければならないが、禁止するものとしなないものとの境界線を引くのは現実的には困難であるということ。

問 4

<Kana>

[解答例]

I agree with Kana. I have two reasons. First, text-walking often stops us from paying attention to our surroundings. In fact, I slipped on the snowy road while texting and was slightly injured this winter. Second, making a law against walking while texting is more effective than improving our morality. Like drunken driving, without legal force, most people would not repress their own desire.

[別解]

I agree with Kana. It is true that this problem is connected with common sense, but some people don't realize it is bad manners until it is legally banned. In addition, because of a great number of text-walking, fine for text-walking would increase the national treasury.

<Chie>

[解答例]

For two reasons, I agree with Chie's opinion that we needn't have laws to stop us from walking while doing various activities. First, I think that in regard to getting distracted, there is no difference between using smartphones and doing other things. Second, I strongly believe that we shouldn't have laws which strict our freedom. We have the right to use our smartphones while walking, unless our actions harm others.

[別解]

I agree with Chie for the following two reasons. One is our ability to sense what happens around us. Even if you concentrate your attention on a smartphone, you can sense someone or something approaching you. This ability enables you to prevent a danger. The other is the importance of education. It is not making a law but bringing up people to be considerate of others that keeps our society safer in the long run.

Ⅲ

(A)

[解答例]

While an “artifact” is made by human consciousness, “nature” is a world which is not made by it. A human body is made not by human consciousness but as a result of natural process, and therefore it belongs to nature.

[別解]

“Art” is something human consciousness has created, but “nature” is something human consciousness has not created. Because a human body is not something human consciousness has created, and is arisen in the natural course, a human body belongs to nature.

(B)

[解答例]

Most people, suddenly in their middle age, begin to have concern about their bodies, and try different things which are said to be good for health. They are for long unaware that their bodies belong to nature. When they go into their middle age, they suddenly become aware of this and get upset.

[別解]

Most people begin to worry about their own bodies and try one thing which is said to improve their health after another only when they reach middle age. This is because they have forgotten that their own bodies belong to nature, and they reach middle age, which suddenly reminds them of this fact and makes them anxious about their bodies.